Exhibit A

1.	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS	
2	DIDIRICI OF PRODUCTION OF THE	
3	·	
4	IN RE: PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY)	
5	AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE) LITIGATION)	
6) NO. 1:07-CV-12257-PBS)	
7)))	
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA EX REL) LINNETTE SUN AND GREG HAMILTON,) Plaintiffs,)	
9		
10	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
11	vs.) NO. 1:08-cv-11200-PBS)	
12	BAXTER HEMOGLOBIN THERAPEUTICS)	
13	ET AL.,) Defendants.)	
14		
15	BEFORE: THE HONORABLE PATTI B. SARIS	
16		
17	MOTION HEARING	
18	Toba Tagonh Maaklay IInited Otates Counthans	
19	John Joseph Moakley United States Courthouse Courtroom No. 19 One Courthouse Way Boston, MA 02210 June 22, 2009 4:10 p.m.	
20		
21		
22		
23	Brenda K. Hancock, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter John Joseph Moakley United States Courthouse One Courthouse Way Boston, MA 02210 (617)439-3214	
24		
25		

1.	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
LO	
L1	
12	
1.3	
14	
15	
1.6	
17	

APPEARANCES:

LAW OFFICES OF MARK ALLEN KLEIMAN By: Mark R. Correro, Esq. 2907 Stanford Avenue Venice, CA 90292 On behalf of the Plaintiffs.

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP By: Merle M. Delancey, Jr., Esq. 2101 L. Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037 On behalf of the Defendant Baxter Healthcare.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

PROCEEDINGS:

THE CLERK: In re: Pharmaceutical Industry Average

Wholesale Price Litigation, Civil Action 01-12257 will now be heard before this Court.

Counsel please identify themselves for the record.

MR. CORRERO: Mark Correro, from the Law Offices of Mark Allen Kleiman, for plaintiffs Linnette Sun and Greg Hamilton.

MR. DELANCEY: Merle Delancey, for Baxter Healthcare, from Dickstein Shapiro in Washington.

THE COURT: Thank you. I'm glad you're here. Welcome to Boston to you. Welcome to this case.

Is this the first time you've been involved in this case?

MR. CORRERO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Tell me about your case.

MR. CORRERO: What I can tell you about our case, since I am so new to both the firm and this case, is that we have a proposed case management order.

MR. DELANCEY: We do.

THE COURT: But tell me what the case is about. I don't know anything about your case. I've been doing this wonderful case for eight years, so each one has a slightly different twist to it. So, what is it about?

MR. CORRERO: Our case has to do with some biologics

1.6

that are manufactured by the defendant and our allegations are 1 2 that the --THE COURT: Who are you? Who do you represent? 3 MR. CORRERO: Linnette Sun and Greg Hamilton. THE COURT: What is that? 5 MR. CORRERO: Linnette Sun is a former employee of 6 7 Baxter. THE COURT: Okay. 8 MR. CORRERO: And Greg Hamilton is also a relator in 9 10 our case. THE COURT: 11 This is a False Claims Act case, so it's a 12 little different than a lot of my cases. This is more like the 13 Ven-A-Care cases. MR. CORRERO: Yes, your Honor. · 14 THE COURT: So, who are your people? Are they former 15 employees? 16 MR. CORRERO: One is a former employee; yes, your 17 18 Honor. THE COURT: And what are they claiming? 19 MR. CORRERO: They're claiming that Baxter misreported 20 21 the price of -- its lowest price to the government, thereby causing the government to pay exorbitantly for the cost of the 22 biologic --23 24 THE COURT: Is this an AWP case or a WAC case? MR. CORRERO: AWP case. 25

THE COURT: Average wholesale pricing case. And has 1 the government not intervened? MR. CORRERO: The government filed, as it has in I 3 think every qui tam case; except for some of the Ven-A-Care 4 cases declined to intervene so far. 5 6 THE COURT: And how many drugs are we talking about? 7 MR. CORRERO: I'm not sure, your Honor. I know there are two biologics at issue. 8 9 THE COURT: Which are what? MR. CORRERO: Factor VIII, and another one --10 THE COURT: Factor VIII is what, growth? 11 Hemophilia clotting factor. 12 MR. DELANCEY: THE COURT: And what's the price you claim that Baxter 13 14 reported as opposed to what the price actually was? 15 MR. CORRERO: I'm so new to the case, I couldn't answer that question for you, your Honor. I apologize. 16 17 THE COURT: Well, is this a Medicare and Medicaid 18 case? 19 MR. CORRERO: Yes, your Honor; Medicare and Medicaid 20 case. THE COURT: And how much are the potential damages? 21 22 MR. CORRERO: I think, from reading over the complaint and some of the documents, I've seen the inflation of some of 23 the prices as high as 40,000 percent. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Now from your point of view -- so, 25

1 is it a brand-new case? 2 MR. DELANCEY: No, no. 3 THE COURT: It's been sitting in some --MR. DELANCEY: This is the same cases you've been, you 4 5 know, herding since 2000. 6 THE COURT: Biologics are a little different. 7 MR. DELANCEY: No, no. They're in the case, though. 8 Baxter biologics are in the main Hagens Berman consolidated complaint. This is nothing new. They have best-price 9 allegations counts, they have False Claims Act AWP, False 10 1.1 Claims best price, and then they've got a bunch of employment-related, retaliatory discharge for Ms. Sun. 12 13 Hamilton -- there's two relators. Hamilton never 14 worked for Baxter, doesn't purport to have any -- he never 15 worked for us. THE COURT: Will never qualify as an original source. 16 MR. DELANCEY: He will never -- at best, he'll qualify 17 18 as an expert or as a consultant, but he'll never qualify as 19 independent or direct knowledge. 20 THE COURT: How does she have direct knowledge? 21 MR. CORRERO: She was an employee. 22 THE COURT: And? 23 MR. CORRERO: She was an employee, and I don't want to 24 misrepresent her employment. MR. DELANCEY: Go ahead, because I'm sure if you're 25

not accurate, I'll clear you up. 1 THE COURT: He seems not to be shy. 2 MR. DELANCEY: Yeah. I got this one. 3 MR. CORRERO: She was an employee for Baxter, and I'm 4 not sure in what capacity she was an employee, because I'm so 5 new to the case. I apologize. 6 THE COURT: Are you a partner in the firm? MR. CORRERO: No, associate. 9 THE COURT: An associate. MR. CORRERO: Just started with the firm in November. 10 THE COURT: All right. Well, congratulations you've 11 got a job, but next time tell -- who's the partner in charge of 12 this? 13 14 MR. CORRERO: Mark Kleiman. THE COURT: Tell him to come or to teach you what it's 15 about. All right? 16 17 So, you've got a case management order. Are you raising any False Claims Act kinds of defenses? 18 MR. DELANCEY: Yes. Do you have it in front of you? 19 THE COURT: Actually, when I went running upstairs, I 20 think I left it upstairs. Do you have an extra one? 21 We know that generally defendants here show up. 22 the only issue is did we screw up and how we noticed it. So, 23 it was really the plane, right. 24

MR. DELANCEY: It's called rain and fog, and we were

an hour in DC before we even left. I'm sorry.

On the best-price part, this is -- their case is worse than the Ortho, which you've already ruled on. I mean, there you at least have an employee, a sales rep, who possibly might have some knowledge of best price. Here, Hamilton has none, sun didn't even work in the same office. I mean, she was out in California. They're computing best price in Chicago.

THE COURT: So, what I never know -- because these things are like sleeping dogs, they're under the radar screen -- when did they file --

MR. DELANCEY: 2005.

THE COURT: 2005, and then it was kept under wraps, under seal, where, what District?

MR. DELANCEY: Colorado.

THE COURT: In Colorado. So, at some point some judge must have unsealed it, because there was a declination, is that what happened, and then it got transferred here?

MR. CORRERO: It was transferred here from the District of Colorado.

THE COURT: So, as of 2005, not only was my mega-complaint filed, but a slew of state cases have been filed.

MR. DELANCEY: Eleven. Three had best-price claims in them: New York, Montana, Nevada. Eleven pure AWP. I mean, this is not --

1 THE COURT: The same drugs.

1.2

MR. DELANCEY: Well, to the extent a drug existed, yes. I mean, sometimes -- for example, they have Advate in their complaint. Advate wasn't launched until -- I don't know the exact date -- but it's still -- it's covered in other complaints. When we get releases, we get releases from states for everything.

THE COURT: So, I'm assuming you're going to file a motion to dismiss on those grounds.

MR. DELANCEY: Big time.

THE COURT: Have you looked at this issue yet?

MR. CORRERO: No, your Honor. We will fully brief the issue when --

THE COURT: Someone must have looked at it under Rule 11, right, whether or not they were otherwise disclosed?

MR. CORRERO: I'm sorry?

THE COURT: The partner must have looked at this. I mean, I've had the other cases come up like this, where it was the master case and how much somebody else qualified as an original source.

MR. DELANCEY: Public disclosure, original source, they're killed. I mean, we tried -- we've engaged in serious letter-writing campaign, going, Tell us what you're trying to do here. You say our best prices are wrong; what should they have been? He sends me a copy of a marketing research bureau,

a periodical that's published --

1.1

MR. CORRERO: I have to interject. I know one thing. The letter-writing that went back and forth was pursuant to settlement negotiations, and it's confidential.

THE COURT: That may be.

MR. DELANCEY: Well, I can tell you mine aren't but --

THE COURT: I'll leave that alone.

MR. DELANCEY: Fair enough.

THE COURT: So, there's a motion to dismiss that's going to filed on August 15th; opposition September 15th; reply September 30th.

But what I'm sort of worrying about is whether there should be any discovery at all until I figure out what's left. The only issues that I've allowed discovery on is to the extent that it applied to jurisdictional kinds of issues. So, I don't know if we can parse it that thinly.

MR. DELANCEY: We have thought about that, your Honor. I'd like to see what they say in response to our opposition, and then, if there's discovery, we'll ask for it. Based on the complaint, there is nowhere for them to run. We think this -- I mean --

THE COURT: So, I'm going to stay discovery, pending their motion to dismiss, and if you think you need targeted discovery on the jurisdictional issues, you will let me know.

MR. CORRERO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So, I'm staying it without prejudice to this briefing schedule. Defendant's reply comes in September 30th. Why don't we talk about late October for a hearing date. This guy should come in, or you, if you're going to be -- but whoever has knowledge should come in, and even though I know it's far away, away from Texas, it's too difficult to do over the telephone.

MR. DELANCEY: California.

THE COURT: California?

MR. CORRERO: Houston.

MR. DELANCEY: Oh. Mark's in California.

MR. CORRERO: Right.

THE CLERK: October 22nd at 2:00 p.m.

MR. DELANCEY: We will make it happen, and we'll be on time. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: We don't need this on the record.

(Discussion held off the record)

THE COURT: I'll docket the case management order to the extent the briefing schedule; otherwise, discovery is stayed, and we'll just figure out if I have jurisdiction. I'll do a jurisdictional analysis. I'm hoping what you all can do is just if, in fact, there is some quick discovery, I could see why you would possibly need a deposition of Ms. Sun. That might be relevant, because if she puts in an affidavit saying she's the original source, you lose.

MR. DELANCEY: Please, bring that affidavit, and then 1 we will definitely ask for discovery if we see that. 2 THE COURT: Or this other guy. 3 MR. DELANCEY: Because it's not going to happen. 4 THE COURT: I don't know. 5 MR. DELANCEY: They can put the affidavit in, but in 6 terms of original source --7 I just have a basic prejudice; there is THE COURT: 8 nothing simple about this case ever. If you present me with 9 something simple, I'll be a very happy woman. So, this is a 10 very complicated, dense case that's gone on for eight years on 11 very important issues, and as far as I can tell, nothing's been 12 simple. But I will give you narrow discovery on the 13 jurisdictional issues, but, otherwise, we won't do the broad 14 discovery till I've done the analysis. 15 Okay. Good. Thank you. 16 MR. DELANCEY: Thank you, your Honor. 17 THE CLERK: Court is in recess. 18 (Whereupon, proceedings concluded at 4:35 p.m.) 19 20 21 22 23

24

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	I, Brenda K. Hancock, RMR, CRR and Official Reporter
5	of the United States District Court, do hereby certify that the
6	foregoing transcript, from Page 1 to Page 12, constitutes, to
7	the best of my skill and ability, a true and accurate
8	transcription of my stenotype notes taken in the matter of In
9	Re: Pharmaceutical Industry Average Wholesale Price Litigation,
10	1:07-cv-12257-PBS, Sun, et al v Baxter Hemoglobin Therapeutics
11	et al., 1:08-cv-11200.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	/s/ Brenda K. Hancock
18	Brenda K. Hancock, RMR, CRR
19	Official Court Reporter
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	